

BoxInterference@uspto.gov  
Telephone: 571-272-4683

Paper 269  
Filed: June 18, 2012

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

---

GLENN W. CONNERY, GARY JASZEWSKI and RICHARD REID  
Junior Party  
(Patent 6,246,683)<sup>1</sup>

v.

LAURENCE B. BOUCHER, STEPHEN E. BLIGHTMAN, PETER K. CRAFT,  
DAVID A. HIGGEN, CLIVE M. PHILBRICK and  
DARYL D. STARR  
Senior Party  
(Application 09/692,561)<sup>2</sup>

---

Patent Interference No. 105,775  
(Technology Center 2400)

---

Before: JAMESON LEE, RICHARD TORCZON, and JONI Y. CHANG,  
*Administrative Patent Judges.*

LEE, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

**Judgment – Request for Adverse – Bd. R. 127(b)**

1

---

1 Based on Application 09/071,692, filed May 1, 1998. The real party in interest is Hewlett-Packard Company.

2 Filed October 18, 2000. The real party in interest is Alacritech, Inc. Accorded the benefit of Application 09/067,544, filed April 27, 1998, now Patent 6,226,680, and Provisional Application 60/061,809, filed October 14, 1997.

Interference No. 105,775  
Connery v. Boucher

1       On June 11, 2012, junior party Connery filed a paper requesting entry of  
2 adverse judgment. The request is *granted*.

3           It is

4       **ORDERED** that judgment on priority as to Count 1 is entered against junior  
5 party GLENN W. CONNERY, GARY JASZEWSKI, and RICHARD REID;

6       **FURTHER ORDERED** that claims 1-3 and 5-20 of junior party's involved  
7 Patent 6,246,683, which correspond to Count 1, are herein cancelled;

8       **FURTHER ORDERED** that judgment on priority as to Count 2 is entered  
9 against junior party GLENN W. CONNERY, GARY JASZEWSKI, and  
10 RICHARD REID;

11       **FURTHER ORDERED** that claim 4 of junior party's involved Patent  
12 6,246,683, which corresponds to Count 2, is herein cancelled;

13       **FURTHER ORDERED** that pursuant to our holding of unpatentability of  
14 Boucher's claims 46-49, in Paper 262 issued March 26, 2012, Boucher's  
15 application claims 46-49 are finally refused;

16       **FURTHER ORDERED** that the parties shall note the requirements of  
17 35 U.S.C. §135(c) and Bd.R. 205; and

18       **FURTHER ORDERED** that a copy of this judgment shall be entered into  
19 the file of Patent 6,246,683 and Application 09/692,561.

Interference No. 105,775  
Connery v. Boucher

By Electronic Transmission:

Attorney for Junior Party Connery:

Robert Sachs  
FENWICK & WEST LLP  
[rsachs@fenwick.com](mailto:rsachs@fenwick.com)

Anthony M. Zupcic  
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO  
[azupcic@fchs.com](mailto:azupcic@fchs.com)

Attorney for Senior Party Boucher:

Mark A. Lauer  
Thomas W. Lathram  
SILICON EDGE LAW GROUP LLP  
[mark@siliconedgelaw.com](mailto:mark@siliconedgelaw.com)  
[tom@siliconedgelaw.com](mailto:tom@siliconedgelaw.com)

Charles G. Gholtz  
OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT  
[cgholz@oblon.com](mailto:cgholz@oblon.com)